

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>		
	10/646,079		BARR ET AL.		
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>		
	Nitin C. Patel		2116		

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Nitin C. Patel.

(2) Mr. Michael G. Verga [reg.# 39,410].

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 November 2006

**Time:** 2:25 pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*n/a*

Claims discussed:  
*29-34, 38-41, and 45 - 46.*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Nitin C. Patel 11/28/06*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has initiated an interview with attorney, to correct the wrong dependency of claims 29 - 34, and 38 - 41, and to reword the claims 45 and 46.. And attorney is agreed upon and authorized the examiner to correct it by replacing "27" with --- 28-- for claims 29 -34, and 38 - 41, and rewording claims 45 -46 as per examiner's amendment ..